

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/987,380	11/14/2001	Masaaki Mimura	TOTO0004-US	6700
28970 7:	590 11/04/2003		EXAM	INER
SHAW PITTI		PHAM, HAI CHI		
IP GROUP 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1300			2861	
MCLEAN, VA	A 22102		DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/987,380	MIMURA ET AL.			
		Examin r	Art Unit			
		Hai C Pham	2861 MW			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHOTHE I  - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Anyr	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	<u> August 2003</u> .	•			
2a)[	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·	on of Claims					
-	Claim(s) 9-11,13 and 14 is/are pending in the	• •				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-11,13 and 14</u> is/are rejected.					
·	7) Claim(s)is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:						
O D-4	- down di Office	''				

Application/Control Number: 09/987,380

Art Unit: 2861

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 9-11 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for forming a mark on the surface of the base, does not support the claimed limitations as recited in the base claim 9, which include the provision of a mask.

Claim 9, as amended, recites the following combined limitations including "forming a mask on the surface of said plating layer (emphasis added)" and "providing a concave portion or a concavo-convex portion on the surface of the base by laser marking which penetrates the mask (emphasis added)", where such combination is not supported by the specification. The only instance where the mark having a concave portion or a concavo-convex portion is provided on the surface of the base by laser marking, is shown in Example 8, and corresponding Figure 5, and wherein the mask is not used. Each of the examples 10 through 12 (and corresponding Figure 7) discloses the use of the mask to form marks, where "[W]ith respect to the depth, the mark had a concave portion having the bottom located around 2 to 5 μm from the surface of the base (emphasis added)". It is clear that Applicants do not recommend forming marks on the surface of the base by laser marking while using the mask.

Claims 10-11 and 13-14 are dependent from claim 9 above, and include every element and limitation of claim 9, and are therefore rejected for the same reason as above.

Due to the uncertainty of the limitations, claims 9-11 and 13-14 are deemed to be so undefined as to preclude consideration in view of the prior art.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9-11, 13-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection presented above.

## Response to Arguments

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai C Pham whose telephone number is (703) 308-1281. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (8:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin R. Fuller can be reached on (703) 308-0079. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722, (703) 308-7724, (703) 308-7382, (703) 305-3431, (703) 305-3432.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

October 31, 2003